Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/572,957	KAKINAMI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barry Drennan	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Barry Drennan</u> .	(3)	
(2) John M. Bird, Reg. No. 46,027.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 May 2009	Time: <u>5:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 10 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Brian P. Werner/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 (A	pplicant∕Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
· ·		/

Application No. 10/572,957

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed Examiner's positive statement on hardware or hardware-plus-software construction in claims 1 and 10. Discussed Examiner's Amendment of claim 10. Applicant's attorney indicated agreement to the amendment in follow-up telephone call on 8 May 2009..